

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 3709–3712

A selective turn-on fluorescent sensor for $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$ and application to bioimaging

Meng Zhang,^a Yanhong Gao,^b Manyu Li,^{c,d} Mengxiao Yu,^a Fuyou Li,^{a,*} Lei Li,^a Minwei Zhu,^e Jianping Zhang,^{c,d,*} Tao Yi^a and Chunhui Huang^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry and Advanced Materials Laboratory, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, PR China
^b Hespital of Vinhua, Medicine School of Shanghai Jigotong University, Shanghai 200002, PR China

^bHospital of Xinhua, Medicine School of Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200092, PR China

 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{S}}$ State Key Laboratory for Structural Chemistry of Unstable and Stable Species, Institute of Chemistry,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 1000872, PR China
^d Department of Chemistry, Bannin University of China, Beijing 10008

^a Department of Chemistry, Renmin University of China, Beijing 1000872, PR China
^e Hospital of Obstatries and Gynecology, Eyden University, Shanghai 200433, PP China e Hospital of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, PR China

Received 31 December 2006; revised 20 March 2007; accepted 21 March 2007 Available online 24 March 2007

Abstract—A novel compound FD1 was demonstrated as a turn-on fluorescent sensor for imaging of iron(III) ion in biological samples. Based on the spirolactam (nonfluorescence) to ring-open amide (fluorescence) equilibrium, FD1 exhibited high selectivity and sensitivity for Fe³⁺ over other metal ions. Moreover, fluorescent microscopy experiments further established that FD1 could be used for sensing $Fe³⁺$ within living cells.

 $© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

The design and synthesis for selective and sensitive fluorescent sensors for metal ions has tremendously gained in importance, $¹$ $¹$ $¹$ because metal ions involved in a variety</sup> of fundamental physiological processes in organisms. As an important physiologically relevant metal ion, Fe^{III} ion plays an indispensable role in many biochemical processes at the cellular level, 2 and both its deficiency and excess can induce a variety of diseases^{[3](#page-3-0)} with iron trafficing, storage, and balance being tightly regulated in an organism.[4](#page-3-0) As a consequence, intense research efforts have been directed to develop sensitive and selective sensors for Fe^{3+} .^{[5–7](#page-3-0)} However, for the paramagnetic nature of iron(III) ion, the fluorescent indication for $Fe³⁺$ is mostly signaled by fluorescence quenching.^{[6](#page-3-0)} Especially, the lack of suitable turn-on fluorescent iron indicators^{[7](#page-3-0)} is even more obvious when judged in terms of application in bioimaging, although significant progress has been made in fluorescent molecular sensors for intracellular imaging main group I and II metal ions^{[8,9](#page-3-0)} and transition metal ions (such as Zn^{2+} ,^{[10](#page-3-0)} $Cu⁺,¹¹ Pb²⁺,¹² and Hg²⁺¹³).$ $Cu⁺,¹¹ Pb²⁺,¹² and Hg²⁺¹³).$

Compared with the single-photon excited fluorescent bioimaging technique, two-photon laser scanning microscopy imaging (TPLSM) has the advantage of high transmission at low incident intensity, reduced photodamage, improved depth penetration, and reduced background cellular autofluorescence, and has recently attracted a great deal of interest since the pioneering work of the Webb group on TPLSM.^{[14](#page-3-0)} To image the distribution of ions in cellular processes, suitable twophoton chemosensors with turn-on fluorescence should be developed. However, the cases of two-photon excited fluorescence (TPEF) sensor for ions are still limited to proton, fluoride anion, main group I and II metal ions, and cysteine/homocysteine.[15](#page-3-0) Herein, we are interested in developing a TPEF probe which could be utilized for sensing Fe^{3+} in living cells. A major challenge to achieve this goal is developing a fluorescent probe that exhibits an increasing visible fluorescent emission upon the addition of $Fe³⁺$ over physiologically relevant metal ions. Based on the well-known spirolactam (nonfluorescence) to ring-open amide (fluorescence) equilibrium of rhodamine[,16](#page-3-0) we described a significant emission-increasing probe FD1 [\(Scheme 1](#page-1-0)) for $Fe³⁺$, and demonstrated its utility in bioimaging.

FD1 was synthesized by treatment of rhodamine B hydrazide^{[17](#page-3-0)} with acetone in a yield of ca. 40% ^{[18](#page-3-0)} Single

Keywords: Fluorescent sensor; Bioimaging; $Fe³⁺$.

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: $+86$ 21 55664185; fax: $+86$ 21 55664621; e-mail: fyli@fudan.edu.cn

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.03.112

Scheme 1. Synthetic route of FD1.

crystal of FD1 was obtained by slow evaporation of the acetone solution. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction study showed that the molecular structure of FD1 is of spirocyclic form in the crystal (Fig. 1). The characteristic peak of 66.2 ppm (9-carbon) in the 13 C NMR spectrum of FD1 also supported this consideration.

Absorption spectra of FD1 were performed in a $CH₃CN$ solution diluted 20 times with HEPES buffer (20 mM, pH 7). FeCl₃ was used as Fe(III) source. A weak absorption peak at 561 nm was observed in FD1 solution which appeared to be almost colorless. The adsorption peak intensity increased dramatically with each addition of $Fe³⁺$ (Fig. 2) and the color of solution changed to red-orange, which can be attributed to the delocalized xanthene moiety of the ring-open amide form of FD1. The significant color change in FD1 solution upon addition of $Fe³⁺$ indicated that FD1 was a sensitive 'nakedeye' indicator for Fe^{3+} . Binding assays using the method of continuous variations (Job's plot) were consistent with a 1:1 stoichiometry of the $F\overline{D}1-Fe^{3+}$ complex.

The fluorescence enhancement effects of various amounts of $Fe³⁺$ on FD1 were investigated under excitation at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 510 \text{ nm}$ (Fig. 3). No obvious fluorescent emission was observed in FD1 solution with the absence of Fe^{3+} . When Fe^{3+} was introduced into a 10 μ M FD1 solution, an obvious fluorescence peak was observed and also enhanced upon further addition of $Fe³⁺$, whereas other metal ions displayed much weaker response. The fluorescence intensity at 583 nm increased by a factor of 112 when $Fe³⁺$ concentration increased

Figure 1. The ORTEP drawing of FD1 (30% ellipsoid). H atoms were omitted for clarity.[19](#page-3-0)

Figure 2. UV–vis absorption spectra of FD1 $(10 \mu M)$ upon the addition of Fe³⁺ (0–75 μ M) in the CH₃CN solution diluted 20 times with HEPES buffer (20 mM pH 7) at 25 °C. Each spectrum is obtained after Fe^{3+} addition for 5 min. Inset: Job' plot of FD1 and Fe^{3+} . The total concentration of FD1 and Fe^{3+} was kept at a fixed 10 μ M. The data are consistent with 1:1 $Fe³⁺$ –FD1 complex.

Figure 3. Fluorescence responses of FD1 (10 μ M) upon the addition of $Fe³⁺$ at 25 °C. Each spectrum was obtained in the CH₃CN solution diluted 20 times with HEPES buffer (20 mM, pH 7) after $Fe³⁺$ addition for 5 min. Inset: (a) the plot of fluorescent emission intensity at 583 nm as a function of Fe³⁺ concentration (λ_{ex} = 510 nm) and (b) two-photon absorption spectrum of FD1 upon the addition of 80 μ M Fe³⁺.

from 0 to 80 μ M. The stability constant of the complex was calculated by the linear Benesi–Hildebrand expression:[20](#page-3-0)

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta l} = \frac{1}{\text{[FD1]}\Delta\phi} + \frac{1}{K_{\text{ass}}\text{[FD1]}\Delta\phi} \frac{1}{\text{[Fe}^{3+}]}
$$

where ΔI is the change in the fluorescence intensity at 583 nm; K_{ass} is the stability constant; $\Delta \phi$ ($\Delta \phi$ = $\phi_{\text{complex}} - \phi_{\text{FD1}}$) is the difference of fluorescence quantum yields between the complex and FD1; and [FD1] and $[Fe³⁺]$ are the concentrations of FD1 and $Fe³⁺$, respectively. On the basis of the plot of $1/\Delta I$ and $1/[\text{Fe}^{3+}]$, the stability constant was calculated to be 2.3×10^4 M⁻¹.

Furthermore, the two-photon related photophysical properties of FD1 were investigated in the absence and presence of $Fe³⁺$, and the two-photon absorption cross-sections were determined by the method of twophoton induced fluorescence using Rhodamine B as a standard with known two-photon absorption (TPA) cross-section (δ) (Supplementary data).^{[21](#page-3-0)} A similar response to the TPEF titration of $Fe³⁺$ with those of FD1 in single-photon related photophysical properties was observed. No obvious TPEF signal was recorded under the excitation of fs laser from 650 to 1050 nm, indicating that FD1 was not TPEF-active. However, the TPEF intensity of FD1 ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 800 \text{ nm}$) increased gradually upon addition of $Fe³⁺$ (Supplementary data). As shown in the inset of [Figure 3,](#page-1-0) FD1 exhibited twophoton active properties with TPA cross-section of 31 GM in the presence of $80 \mu M \text{Fe}^{3+}$. This result indicated that FD1 could be used as TPEF sensor for $Fe³⁺$.

The selective coordination studies of FD1 were then extended to related heavy, transition, and main group metal ions by fluorescence spectroscopy. The representative chromogenic behavior of FD1 toward metal ions in aqueous solution is shown in Figure 4. Upon the addition of $80 \mu M$ metal ions, very mild fluorescence enhancement factor (FEF) in FD1 solution was observed for Cu^{2+} (18-fold), while Na^{+} , K^{+} , Cu^{+} , Ag^{+} , Ca^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cr^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , and Fe^{2+} showed very weak response (Fig. 4).

Figure 4. Fluorescence responses of FD1 $(10 \mu M)$ to various metal ions (80 μ M, x-axis markers) in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7. Bars represent the final integrated fluorescence response (F_f) over the initial integrated emission (F_i) . White bars represent the addition of an excess of the appropriate metal ion (1 mM for Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺, 80 μ M for all other cations) to a 10 μ M solution of FD1. Black bars represent the addition of Fe³⁺ (80 µM) to the solution. ($\lambda_{ex} = 510$ nm). 1, Na⁺; 2, K^+ ; 3, Cu^+ ; 4, Ag^+ ; 5, Ca^{2+} ; 6, Cd^{2+} ; 7, Co^{2+} ; 8, Cu^{2+} ; 9, Cr^{2+} ; 10, Zn^{2+} ; 11, Mg^{2+} ; 12, Mn^{2+} ; 13, Ni^{2+} ; 14, Pb^{2+} ; 15, Fe^{3+} .

Figure 5. Fluorescence and phase contrast images of live PIEC cells: (a) fluorescence image of PIEC cells at $25 °C$; (b) fluorescence image of PIEC cells incubated with $5 \mu M$ FD1 for 15 min at 25 °C; (c) brightfield image of live PIEC cells shown in panel b to confirm viability.

However, only the addition of $Fe³⁺$ resulted in a prominent enhancement (112-fold) of fluorescence emission at 583 nm, which indicated the high selectivity of FD1 to $Fe³⁺$. The competition experiment was also carried out by adding $Fe³⁺$ to FD1 solution in the presence of other metal ions, as shown in Figure 4. The results indicated that the recognition of $Fe³⁺$ by FD1 was not significantly interfered by these commonly coexistent ions.

To develop practical applicability of FD1 toward $Fe³⁺$, we next assessed the ability of FD1 to operate within living pig iliac artery endothelium cells (PIEC) by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 5). PIEC cells incubated with or without 5 μ M FD1 for 15 min at 25 °C gave no intracellular background fluorescence (Fig. 5a). Moreover, no intracellular fluorescence was detected for PIEC cells supplementing cells with 50 μ M FeCl₃ in the growth medium for 5 h at 37 °C. However, as shown in Figure 5b, bright intracellular fluorescence was observed for supplementing cells with 50 μ M FeCl₃ in the growth medium for 5 h at 37 \degree C and then staining with FD1 under the same loading conditions. It can be seen from the brightfield transmission measurement after $Fe³⁺$ and FD1 incubation (Fig. 5c) that the PIEC cells were viable throughout the imaging experiments. These facts implied that FD1 was membrane-permeable and could sense intracellular $Fe³⁺$ in living cells.

In summary, we demonstrated a unique fluorescent chemosensor FD1 for $Fe³⁺$ and its bioimaging applications. FD1 exhibited a turn-on fluorescent response for detecting $Fe³⁺$ with excellent selectivity over other metal ions. Moreover, fluorescent microscopy experiments indicated that FD1 could be used as fluorescent probe for sensing $Fe³⁺$ in living cells. We anticipate that the turn-on fluorescent properties of this probe presage many opportunities for studying the biological effect of $Fe³⁺$ in future application.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank NSFC (20490210 and 20501006), National High Technology Program of China (2006AA03Z318), Huo Yingdong Education Foundation (104012), and Shanghai Sci. Tech. Comm. (05DJ14004 and 06QH14002) for the financial support.

Supplementary data

Synthetic and experimental details (PDF) are available. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.03.112) [2007.03.112.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.03.112)

References and notes

- 1. (a) Prasanna de Silva, A.; Nimal Gunaratne, H. Q. N.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Huxley, A. J. M.; McCoy, C. P.; Rademacher, J. T.; Rice, T. E. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 1515; (b) Valeur, B.; Leray, I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 205, 3; (c) Prasanna de Silva, A.; McCaughan, B.; McKinney, B. O. F.; Querol, M. Dalton Trans. 2003, 1902; (d) Callan, J. F.; Prasanna de Silva, A.; Magria, D. C. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 8551; (e) Valeur, B. Molecular Fluorescence: Principles and Applications; Wiley-VCH, 2001.
- 2. (a) Aisen, P.; Wessling-Resnick, M.; Leibold, E. A. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 1999, 3, 200; (b) Eisenstein, R. S. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 2000, 20, 627.
- 3. Touati, D. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2000, 373, 1.
- 4. (a) Cairo, G.; Pietrangelo, A. Biochem. J. 2000, 352, 24; (b) Beutler, E.; Felitti, V.; Gelbart, T.; Ho, N. Drug Metab. Dispos 2001, 29, 495.
- 5. (a) Wallace, K. J.; Gray, M.; Zhong, Z. L.; Lynch, V. M.; Anslyn, E. V. Dalton Trans. 2005, 2436; (b) Lee, T. S.; Yang, C.; Park, W. H. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2000, 21, 951; (c) Espósito, B. P.; Epsztejn, S.; Breuer, W.; Cabantchik, Z. I. Anal. Biochem. 2002, 304, 1; (d) Reynolds, I. J. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 2004, 1012, 27.
- 6. (a) Weizman, H.; Ardon, O.; Mester, B.; Libman, J.; Dwir, O.; Hadar, Y.; Chen, Y.; Shanzer, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12386; (b) Nudelman, R.; Ardon, O.; Hadar, Y.; Chen, Y.; Libman, J.; Shanzer, A. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 1671; (c) Hankovszky, O. H.; Kalai, T.; Hideg, E.; Jeko, J.; Hideg, K. Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 975; (d) Petrat, F.; Weisheit, D.; Lensen, M.; Groot, H.; Sustmann, R.; Rauen, U. Biochem. J. 2002, 362, 137; (e) Ma, Y.; Luo, W.; Quinn, P. J.; Liu, Z.; Hider, R. C. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 6349; (f) Tumambac, G. E.; Rosencrance, C. M.; Wolf, C. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 11293; (g) Wolf, C.; Mei, X. F.; Rokadia, H. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 7867; (h) Ouchetto, H.; Dias, M.; Mornet, R.; Lesuisse, E.; Camadro, J. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2005, 13, 1799.
- 7. (a) Bricks, J. L.; Kovalchuk, A.; Trieflinger, C.; Nofz, M.; Büschel, M.; Tolmachev, A. I.; Daub, J.; Rurack, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13522; (b) Xiang, Y.; Tong, A. J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1549.
- 8. (a) Tsien, R. Y. Methods Cell Biol. 1989, 30, 127; (b) Brownlee, C. Trends Cell Biol. 2000, 10, 451.
- 9. Recent examples: (a) Farruggia, G.; Iotti, S.; Prodi, L.; Montalti, M.; Zaccheroni, N.; Savage, P. B.; Trapani, V.; Sale, P.; Wolf, F. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 344; (b) Komatsu, H.; Miki, T.; Citterio, D.; Kubota, T.; Shindo, Y.; Kitamura, Y.; Oka, K.; Suzuki, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10798; (c) Sánchez-Martín, R. M.; Cuttle, M.;

Mittoo, S.; Bradley, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5472.

- 10. (a) Kikuchi, K.; Komatsu, K.; Nagano, T. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2004, 8, 182; (b) Lim, N. C.; Freake, H. C.; Brückner, C. Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 38, and some references therein; (c) Hanaoka, K.; Kikuchi, K.; Kojima, H.; Urano, Y.; Nagano, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12470; (d) Nolan, E. M.; Jaworski, J.; Okamoto, K. I.; Hayashi, Y.; Sheng, M.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16812; (e) Komatsu, K.; Kikuchi, K.; Kojima, H.; Urano, Y.; Nagano, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10197; (f) Goldsmith, C. R.; Lippard, S. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 555.
- 11. Zeng, L.; Miller, E. W.; Pralle, A.; Isacoff, E. Y.; Chang, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 128, 10.
- 12. He, Q. W.; Miller, E. W.; Wong, A. P.; Chang, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9316.
- 13. Hang, Z. C.; Wu, D.; Guo, X. F.; Qian, X. H.; Lu, Z.; Xu, Q.; Yang, Y. Y.; Duan, L. P.; He, Y. K.; Feng, Z. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2005, 18, 1814.
- 14. Denk, W.; Strickler, J. H.; Webb, W. W. Science 1990, 248, 73.
- 15. (a) Taki, M.; Wolford, J. L.; O'Halloran, T. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 712; (b) Kim, H. M.; Jeong, M.-Y.; Ahn, H. C.; Jeon, S.-J.; Cho, B. R. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5749; (c) Pond, S. J. K.; Tsutsumi, O.; Rumi, M.; Kwon, O.; Zojer, E.; Bredas, J.-L.; Marder, S. R.; Perry, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9291; (d) Liu, Z. Q.; Shi, M.; Li, F. Y.; Fang, Q.; Chen, Z. H.; Yi, T.; Huang, C. H. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5481; (e) Zhang, M.; Li, M. Y.; Zhao, Q.; Li, F. Y.; Zhang, D. Q.; Zhang, J. P.; Yi, T.; Huang, C. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 2329.
- 16. (a) Nguyen, T.; Francis, M. B. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3245; (b) Yang, Y.-K.; Yook, K.-J.; Tae, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16760.
- 17. Dujols, V.; Ford, F.; Czarnik, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7386.
- 18. Data for FD1: mp 210-213 °C; ¹H NMR (400 Hz, CDCl₃): δ 7.89–7.92 (m, 1H), 7.42–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.09–7.13 (m, 1H), 6.53 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.38 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.26– 6.29 (dd, $J = 8.8$ Hz, $J = 2.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.30–3.35 (m, 8H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.16 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 Hz, CDCl₃): δ 12.6, 21.4, 25.5, 44.3, 66.2, 97.9, 106.5, 107.8, 122.8, 123.8, 128.0, 128.5, 130.8, 132.1, 148.6, 151.8, 153.6, 160.6, 174.2. MS (EI): m/z 496.5 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for $C_{31}H_{36}N_4O_2$: C, 74.97; H, 7.31; N, 11.28. Found: C, 74.84; H, 7.17; N, 11.32.
- 19. Crystal data for C₃₁H₃₆N₄O₂: Fw = 496.65, Monoclinic (P2(1)/c), $a = 12.199(2)$ Å, $b = 12.303(2)$ Å, $c =$ $(P2(1)/c)$, $a = 12.199(2)$ Å, $b = 12.303(2)$ Å, $c = 18.536(3)$ Å $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 107.501(3)^{\circ}$, $v = 90^{\circ}$, $V =$ 18.536(3) \AA , $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 107.501(3)^\circ$, $\gamma = 90^\circ$, $V =$ $2653.3(8)$ \AA^3 2653.3(8) \mathring{A}^3 , $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.246 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $Z = 4$, $\mu = 0.079 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, R_1 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] = 0.0613, wR_2 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] = 0.1401, R_1 (all data) = 0.1500, wR_2 (all data) = 0.1788, $GOF = 1.046$. CCDC deposition number: CCDC 605536.
- 20. (a) Benesi, H. A.; Hildebrand, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 2703; (b) Barra, M.; Bohne, C.; Scaiano, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8075.
- 21. Xu, C.; Webb, W. W. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 1996, 13, 481.